CR KIDS - HaBaWaBa School

Challenge Performance Analysis Report

Erasmus+ Sport | Coaching Reimagined – KIDS

Public Anonymized Version

Indicator	Value
Dataset	HaBaWaBa confirmed challenge data
Observations analyzed	118
Teams	9
Countries	5
Age groups	U9, U11
Tests	Slalom Sprint and Cross Test

Data protection note: This public report uses anonymized athlete codes. A separate confidential index maps codes to athlete names for internal project use only.

“Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.”

Co-funded by the European Union

Executive Summary

This report presents the results of the CR KIDS – HaBaWaBa School Challenge, implemented as part of the CR KIDS Erasmus+ Sport project during the HaBaWaBa International Festival 2026 in Lignano Sabbiadoro, Italy. The challenges were designed to evaluate fundamental water polo skills through simple, standardized, and repeatable activities, while also testing the feasibility of collecting comparable performance data across clubs, countries, and age groups. The results provide an initial benchmark that can support future athlete monitoring, team benchmarking, and the continued development of the Coaching Reimagined digital platform. (https://coachingreimagined.eu)

The analysis is based on confirmed challenge results from 118 anonymized athlete records, representing 9 teams from 5 countries across the U9 and U11 age groups. Two standardized challenge tests were included:

- Slalom Sprint – assessing speed, agility, and directional movement.
- Cross Test – assessing multidirectional movement and coordination.

Results are presented overall, by age group, by team, and by country. As lower times indicate better performance, all time-based rankings are ordered from fastest to slowest.

Summary Statistics:

- **Total athlete records:** 118
- **Age group distribution:** U9: 50; U11: 68
- **Average Slalom Sprint:** 7.82 seconds
- **Average Cross Test:** 14.25 seconds
- **Fastest Slalom Sprint:** MON-U11-002 (Monaco, U11) - 5.20s
- **Fastest Cross Test:** MAR-U9-012 (Marseille, U9) - 11.27s
- **Top average Slalom team:** Monaco - 6.77s
- **Top average Cross team:** San Mauro - 13.48s

Key finding: the dataset provides a practical baseline for comparing teams and age groups using simple, repeatable challenge measures. The age-group analysis is useful because it shows both average performance and variability, helping coaches interpret whether differences reflect isolated outliers or broader group trends.

1. Methodology

The analysis uses the confirmed Excel dataset provided for the HaBaWaBa School challenge activities. Each row represents one anonymized athlete result, including team, country, age group, Slalom Sprint time, and Cross Test time. Only confirmed numeric results were included. No manual time corrections or assumptions were added during the analysis.

Ranking method: For both tests, lower times indicate better performance. Team rankings are based on average time across all athletes from that team. Age group comparisons use mean, median, standard deviation, and best result.

Challenge Performance Index (CPI): To provide an overall combined indicator, each athlete receives a percentile-based score for each test, where the fastest time receives the highest score. The CPI is the average of the Slalom Sprint score and Cross Test score. This is not an official competition score, but a useful project-level benchmark for combined performance.

Data protection: Athlete names have been replaced by unique participant codes in the public version of the report. The confidential code index is provided separately for internal project administration only and should not be published.

2. Participation Profile

The sample includes 118 anonymized athlete records. Participation was distributed across 9 teams, 5 countries, and 2 age groups.



Figure 1. Number of participating athletes by country.



Figure 2. Number of participating athletes by team.



Figure 3. Number of participating athletes by age group.

Table 1. Country participation and average performance summary.

Country	Teams	Athletes	Avg Slalom	Avg Cross	Avg CPI
Italy	4	50	7.80	14.31	50.02
Egypt	2	27	8.06	13.70	51.12
France	1	15	8.07	13.85	49.23
Monaco	1	14	6.77	15.88	52.81
Croatia	1	12	8.32	13.81	46.33

3. Slalom Sprint Analysis

The overall average Slalom Sprint time was 7.82 seconds, with a median of 7.80 seconds. The fastest confirmed Slalom Sprint result was 5.20 seconds.



Figure 4. Top 10 Slalom Sprint performances overall. Bar colors identify age group.

Table 2. Top 10 overall Slalom Sprint ranking.

Rank	Athlete Code	Team	Country	Age group	Time (s)
1	MON-U11-002	Monaco	Monaco	U11	5.20
2	MON-U11-004	Monaco	Monaco	U11	5.62
3	MON-U11-001	Monaco	Monaco	U11	5.71
4	POS-U11-016	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.20
5	POS-U11-015	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.27
6	DEL-U11-011	Delta	Italy	U11	6.31
7	POS-U11-008	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.49
8	POS-U11-009	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.54
9	POS-U11-010	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.62
10	POS-U11-011	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.65



Figure 5. Team ranking based on average Slalom Sprint time. Each team is shown with a consistent team color.



Figure 6. Average Slalom Sprint time by age group.



Figure 7. Slalom Sprint distribution by age group.

Interpretation: The Slalom Sprint results show both individual speed and team-level depth. The overall top-10 ranking highlights the fastest anonymized individual performances, while the team average ranking shows which teams performed consistently across all athletes rather than relying on one isolated result.

3.1 Top Slalom Sprint Results - U9

Rank	Athlete Code	Team	Country	Age group	Time (s)
1	GEZ-U9-002	Gezira	Egypt	U9	7.01
2	GEZ-U9-013	Gezira	Egypt	U9	7.03
3	GEZ-U9-008	Gezira	Egypt	U9	7.13
4	KOR-U9-008	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	7.20
5	MAR-U9-013	Marseille	France	U9	7.39
6	KOR-U9-001	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	7.41
7	GEZ-U9-012	Gezira	Egypt	U9	7.51
8	MAR-U9-012	Marseille	France	U9	7.55
9	MAR-U9-002	Marseille	France	U9	7.57
10	GEZ-U9-003	Gezira	Egypt	U9	7.59



Figure 8. Top 10 Slalom Sprint results for U9, colored by team.

3.2 Top Slalom Sprint Results - U11

Rank	Athlete Code	Team	Country	Age group	Time (s)
1	MON-U11-002	Monaco	Monaco	U11	5.20
2	MON-U11-004	Monaco	Monaco	U11	5.62
3	MON-U11-001	Monaco	Monaco	U11	5.71
4	POS-U11-016	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.20
5	POS-U11-015	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.27
6	DEL-U11-011	Delta	Italy	U11	6.31
7	POS-U11-008	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.49
8	POS-U11-009	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.54
9	POS-U11-010	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.62
10	POS-U11-011	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.65



Figure 9. Top 10 Slalom Sprint results for U11, colored by team.

4. Cross Test Analysis

The overall average Cross Test time was 14.25 seconds, with a median of 13.95 seconds. The fastest confirmed Cross Test result was 11.27 seconds.



Figure 10. Top 10 Cross Test performances overall. Bar colors identify age group.

Table 3. Top 10 overall Cross Test ranking.

Rank	Athlete Code	Team	Country	Age group	Time (s)
1	MAR-U9-012	Marseille	France	U9	11.27
2	SAN-U11-003	San Mauro	Italy	U11	11.44
3	GEZ-U9-013	Gezira	Egypt	U9	11.60
4	PAL-U11-012	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	12.02
5	KOR-U9-001	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	12.27
6	OND-U9-006	Onda Blu	Italy	U9	12.32
7	GEZ-U9-008	Gezira	Egypt	U9	12.39
8	SAN-U11-001	San Mauro	Italy	U11	12.43
9	SAN-U11-005	San Mauro	Italy	U11	12.43
10	SAN-U11-006	San Mauro	Italy	U11	12.43



Figure 11. Team ranking based on average Cross Test time. Each team is shown with a consistent team color.



Figure 12. Average Cross Test time by age group.



Figure 13. Cross Test distribution by age group.

Interpretation: The Cross Test ranking provides a complementary view of athlete performance. Comparing Cross Test results against Slalom Sprint results helps identify teams and age groups that perform well across more than one movement pattern.

4.1 Top Cross Test Results - U9

Rank	Athlete Code	Team	Country	Age group	Time (s)
1	MAR-U9-012	Marseille	France	U9	11.27
2	GEZ-U9-013	Gezira	Egypt	U9	11.60
3	KOR-U9-001	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	12.27
4	OND-U9-006	Onda Blu	Italy	U9	12.32
5	GEZ-U9-008	Gezira	Egypt	U9	12.39
6	OND-U9-005	Onda Blu	Italy	U9	12.57
7	GEZ-U9-012	Gezira	Egypt	U9	12.57
8	GEZ-U9-001	Gezira	Egypt	U9	12.65
9	OND-U9-002	Onda Blu	Italy	U9	12.67
10	MAR-U9-013	Marseille	France	U9	12.68



Figure 14. Top 10 Cross Test results for U9, colored by team.

4.2 Top Cross Test Results - U11

Rank	Athlete Code	Team	Country	Age group	Time (s)
1	SAN-U11-003	San Mauro	Italy	U11	11.44
2	PAL-U11-012	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	12.02
3	SAN-U11-001	San Mauro	Italy	U11	12.43
4	SAN-U11-005	San Mauro	Italy	U11	12.43
5	SAN-U11-006	San Mauro	Italy	U11	12.43
6	SAN-U11-004	San Mauro	Italy	U11	12.48
7	DEL-U11-005	Delta	Italy	U11	12.58
8	PAL-U11-013	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	12.69
9	PAL-U11-001	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	12.81
10	DEL-U11-011	Delta	Italy	U11	13.00



Figure 15. Top 10 Cross Test results for U11, colored by team.

5. Age Group Analysis

Table 4. Age group statistical summary.

Age group	Athletes	Avg Slalom	Median Slalom	SD Slalom	Best Slalom	Avg Cross	Median Cross	SD Cross	Best Cross	Avg CPI
U11	68	7.51	7.46	0.86	5.20	14.49	14.43	1.50	11.44	52.71
U9	50	8.25	8.21	0.69	7.01	13.91	13.55	1.42	11.27	46.61

Age group finding: U11 had the fastest average Slalom Sprint time (7.51s), while U9 had the fastest average Cross Test time (13.91s). Based on standard deviation, U9 showed the most consistent Slalom Sprint results and U9 showed the most consistent Cross Test results.



Figure 16. Athlete-level relationship between Slalom Sprint and Cross Test results, colored by age group.

6. Team Analysis

Table 5. Team rankings and performance summary.

Team	Country	Athletes	Slalom Rank	Avg Slalom	Best Slalom	Cross Rank	Avg Cross	Best Cross	CPI Rank	Avg CPI
Delta	Italy	12	2	7.23	6.31	6	14.33	12.58	1	61.22
Gezira	Egypt	13	4	7.93	7.01	2	13.55	11.60	2	56.18
Posillipo	Italy	17	3	7.25	6.20	8	14.66	13.07	3	54.85
Monaco	Monaco	14	1	6.77	5.20	9	15.88	13.75	4	52.81
Marseille	France	15	5	8.07	7.39	5	13.85	11.27	5	49.23
San Mauro	Italy	11	8	8.33	7.62	1	13.48	11.44	6	48.02
Palm Hills	Egypt	14	6	8.18	7.28	4	13.84	12.02	7	46.43
SPK Korcula	Croatia	12	7	8.32	7.20	3	13.81	12.27	8	46.33
Onda Blu	Italy	10	9	8.86	7.97	7	14.59	12.32	9	30.56



Figure 17. Team ranking based on the combined Challenge Performance Index.



Figure 18. Number of athletes each team placed in the overall Slalom Sprint Top 10.



Figure 19. Number of athletes each team placed in the overall Cross Test Top 10.

Interpretation: Team-level results show that different teams were stronger in different tests. The CPI helps summarize combined performance across both tests, but it should be interpreted as a project-level benchmark rather than an official competition score.

7. Country Analysis

Table 6. Country ranking based on average Challenge Performance Index.

CPI Rank	Country	Teams	Athletes	Avg Slalom	Avg Cross	Avg CPI
1	Monaco	1	14	6.77	15.88	52.81
2	Egypt	2	27	8.06	13.70	51.12
3	Italy	4	50	7.80	14.31	50.02
4	France	1	15	8.07	13.85	49.23
5	Croatia	1	12	8.32	13.81	46.33

Country-level results should be interpreted with caution because the number of teams and athletes varied by country. For example, countries represented by a single team may reflect that club's performance rather than a broader national profile.

8. Summary of Findings

The CR KIDS – HaBaWaBa School Challenge analysis provides a first structured performance benchmark based on 118 young athletes, representing 9 teams from 5 countries, across the U9 and U11 age groups. Two standardized tests were analyzed: the Slalom Sprint, assessing speed, agility and directional movement, and the Cross Test, providing a complementary measure of multidirectional motor performance. The report uses anonymized participant codes, allowing meaningful analysis while protecting minors' identities.

Participation was broad but uneven: 68 athletes were U11 and 50 were U9. Italy contributed the largest sample with 50 athletes, followed by Egypt with 27. This gives the project a valuable international baseline, although country comparisons must be interpreted cautiously because representation differed substantially.

Clear age-related differences emerged: U11 athletes were significantly stronger in the Slalom Sprint, averaging 7.51 s compared with 8.25 s for U9. In contrast, U9 athletes recorded a better average in the Cross Test, 13.91 s versus 14.49 s for U11. This is one of the most interesting findings because it suggests that the two tests capture different dimensions of motor performance rather than simply reproducing the same ranking.

U9 results were more consistent: U9 showed lower variability in both tests, with standard deviations of 0.69 s in Slalom and 1.42 s in Cross, compared with 0.86 s and 1.50 s for U11. This indicates a more homogeneous performance profile among younger participants in this sample.

Different teams demonstrated different strengths: Monaco achieved the best average Slalom Sprint performance at 6.77 s, while San Mauro ranked first in the Cross Test at 13.48 s. Delta achieved the highest combined Challenge Performance Index (CPI) at 61.22, followed by Gezira (56.18) and Posillipo (54.85). This confirms that excellence in one test did not automatically translate into the strongest overall profile.

The individual rankings reinforce this multidimensional pattern: the fastest Slalom Sprint was 5.20 s by participant MON-U11-002, while the fastest Cross Test was 11.27 s by MAR-U9-012. The fact that the best performances came from different age groups and teams supports the value of using multiple tests rather than a single performance measure.

Team depth was especially visible in the Top 10 rankings: Posillipo placed six athletes in the overall Slalom Top 10, while San Mauro placed four athletes in the Cross Test Top 10. This is important because it distinguishes consistent team-level quality from isolated exceptional performances.

The combined CPI adds practical value: although it is not an official competition score, the percentile-based CPI offers a useful way to combine the two tests and identify athletes and teams with more balanced performance profiles. At country level, Monaco recorded the highest average CPI (52.81), followed by Egypt (51.12) and Italy (50.02), but these comparisons should remain exploratory because countries had very different sample sizes and numbers of teams.

9. Overall conclusion

The strongest overall finding is that simple, repeatable and standardized motor challenges can generate meaningful comparative data across ages, teams and countries. The results show that performance is multidimensional: speed and agility in the Slalom Sprint do not necessarily correspond to superior Cross Test performance. For the Coaching Reimagined / CR KIDS project, this creates a strong foundation for future athlete monitoring, team benchmarking, longitudinal comparison and evidence-based coaching.

A particularly valuable next step would be to repeat the same tests over time. That would transform the current report from a single-event benchmark into a genuine development-monitoring system, capable of measuring individual and team progress across seasons, festivals and countries.

10. Project Value

The confirmed HaBaWaBa School challenge dataset demonstrates that simple standardized tests can generate useful and comparable performance information across teams, countries, and age groups. The analysis provides an initial benchmark that can support future CR KIDS activities, platform development, and evidence-based coaching conversations.

The anonymized reporting approach supports dissemination while protecting the identity of participating minors. The results remain meaningful for Erasmus+ reporting because they document participation, performance patterns, and the feasibility of collecting structured challenge data without publishing children’s names.

The Challenge Performance Index provides an additional project-level tool for combining results across two tests. In future editions, similar indices could be integrated into the Coaching Reimagined platform to support athlete tracking, team benchmarking, and longitudinal monitoring across seasons or events.

“Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.”

Co-funded by
the European Union

Appendix A. Complete Anonymized Results

The appendix lists all confirmed records using athlete codes. This public appendix does not include athlete names.

Code	Team	Country	Age	Slalom	Slalom Rank	Cross	Cross Rank	CPI	CPI Rank
GEZ-U9-013	Gezira	Egypt	U9	7.03	20	11.60	3	91.03	1
DEL-U11-005	Delta	Italy	U11	6.81	12	12.58	14	89.74	2
DEL-U11-011	Delta	Italy	U11	6.31	6	13.00	23	88.46	3
GEZ-U9-008	Gezira	Egypt	U9	7.13	24	12.39	7	87.61	4
POS-U11-009	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.54	8	13.07	25	86.75	5
POS-U11-016	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.20	4	13.30	36	83.76	6
KOR-U9-001	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	7.41	39	12.27	5	82.05	7
MAR-U9-012	Marseille	France	U9	7.55	45	11.27	1	81.20	8
PAL-U11-012	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	7.51	42	12.02	4	81.20	8
POS-U11-015	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.27	5	13.48	43	80.34	10
POS-U11-008	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.49	7	13.49	45	78.63	11
MAR-U9-013	Marseille	France	U9	7.39	36	12.68	17	78.21	12
GEZ-U9-012	Gezira	Egypt	U9	7.51	42	12.57	12	77.78	13
GEZ-U9-002	Gezira	Egypt	U9	7.01	19	13.32	38	76.50	14
POS-U11-011	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.65	10	13.52	47	76.50	14
SAN-U11-006	San Mauro	Italy	U11	7.62	49	12.43	8	76.50	14
DEL-U11-003	Delta	Italy	U11	7.18	28	13.15	30	76.07	17
POS-U11-013	Posillipo	Italy	U11	7.16	27	13.26	33	75.21	18
DEL-U11-001	Delta	Italy	U11	7.30	33	13.27	34	72.22	19
SAN-U11-001	San Mauro	Italy	U11	7.80	59	12.43	8	72.22	20
MON-U11-011	Monaco	Monaco	U11	6.91	14	13.75	55	71.37	21
GEZ-U9-003	Gezira	Egypt	U9	7.59	48	13.03	24	70.09	22
PAL-U11-013	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	7.71	55	12.69	18	69.66	23
MON-U11-012	Monaco	Monaco	U11	6.97	16	13.92	59	68.80	24
GEZ-U9-001	Gezira	Egypt	U9	7.89	63	12.65	15	67.52	25
KOR-U9-008	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	7.20	30	13.53	48	67.52	25
SAN-U11-005	San Mauro	Italy	U11	8.11	70	12.43	8	67.52	25
SAN-U11-004	San Mauro	Italy	U11	8.01	68	12.48	11	67.09	28
OND-U9-002	Onda Blu	Italy	U9	7.97	67	12.67	16	65.38	29
DEL-U11-004	Delta	Italy	U11	7.35	35	13.55	49	64.96	30
POS-U11-004	Posillipo	Italy	U11	7.47	41	13.48	43	64.96	30
MAR-U9-014	Marseille	France	U9	7.73	56	13.14	29	64.53	32
PAL-U11-009	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	7.78	58	13.10	28	64.10	33
SAN-U11-003	San Mauro	Italy	U11	8.33	84	11.44	2	64.10	33
PAL-U11-001	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	8.09	69	12.81	20	62.82	35
KOR-U9-009	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	7.89	63	13.08	26	62.82	35
KOR-U9-002	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	7.80	59	13.20	31	62.39	37
MAR-U9-004	Marseille	France	U9	7.65	50	13.39	41	61.97	38
MON-U11-002	Monaco	Monaco	U11	5.20	1	15.34	92	61.11	39
PAL-U11-005	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	7.73	56	13.32	38	60.68	40
GEZ-U9-010	Gezira	Egypt	U9	7.85	61	13.27	34	60.26	41
OND-U9-006	Onda Blu	Italy	U9	8.46	91	12.32	6	59.40	42
DEL-U11-012	Delta	Italy	U11	7.18	28	14.42	70	58.97	43
DEL-U11-010	Delta	Italy	U11	7.09	22	14.78	76	58.97	44
MON-U11-014	Monaco	Monaco	U11	7.32	34	14.26	66	58.12	45
POS-U11-010	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.62	9	15.32	91	58.12	45
MON-U11-010	Monaco	Monaco	U11	7.12	23	14.80	78	57.69	47
DEL-U11-008	Delta	Italy	U11	7.46	40	13.99	61	57.69	48
MAR-U9-015	Marseille	France	U9	8.32	82	12.94	21	56.84	49
MON-U11-013	Monaco	Monaco	U11	7.24	31	14.62	73	56.41	50
MON-U11-004	Monaco	Monaco	U11	5.62	2	16.02	102	56.41	51
GEZ-U9-004	Gezira	Egypt	U9	8.34	85	12.94	21	55.56	52
PAL-U11-007	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	7.28	32	14.73	75	55.13	53
SAN-U11-008	San Mauro	Italy	U11	8.14	71	13.32	38	54.27	54
MAR-U9-007	Marseille	France	U9	7.70	54	13.84	57	53.42	55

KOR-U9-007	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	8.29	80	13.24	32	52.99	56
MON-U11-001	Monaco	Monaco	U11	5.71	3	16.66	109	52.99	57
POS-U11-007	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.99	17	15.69	96	52.56	58
POS-U11-003	Posillipo	Italy	U11	6.89	13	16.02	102	51.71	59
KOR-U9-006	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	8.25	79	13.30	36	51.71	60
DEL-U11-007	Delta	Italy	U11	7.53	44	14.45	72	51.28	61
POS-U11-017	Posillipo	Italy	U11	7.39	36	14.84	80	51.28	62
OND-U9-004	Onda Blu	Italy	U9	8.78	103	12.78	19	48.72	63
MAR-U9-006	Marseille	France	U9	8.31	81	13.40	42	48.29	64
MAR-U9-011	Marseille	France	U9	8.17	74	13.58	50	47.86	65
MON-U11-003	Monaco	Monaco	U11	6.65	10	17.17	114	47.86	65
DEL-U11-006	Delta	Italy	U11	6.91	14	16.84	111	47.44	67
POS-U11-005	Posillipo	Italy	U11	7.39	36	15.24	89	47.44	67
MAR-U9-010	Marseille	France	U9	7.86	62	14.20	64	47.01	69
OND-U9-005	Onda Blu	Italy	U9	9.34	114	12.57	12	47.01	69
MAR-U9-009	Marseille	France	U9	8.18	75	13.80	56	44.87	71
MON-U11-008	Monaco	Monaco	U11	7.13	24	16.50	107	44.87	72
DEL-U11-009	Delta	Italy	U11	7.67	51	14.92	83	43.59	73
PAL-U11-004	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	7.68	52	14.90	82	43.59	73
MON-U11-006	Monaco	Monaco	U11	7.06	21	17.67	115	42.74	75
MON-U11-007	Monaco	Monaco	U11	7.00	18	18.21	118	42.74	76
MON-U11-009	Monaco	Monaco	U11	7.13	24	17.75	117	40.60	77
KOR-U9-003	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	9.39	115	13.09	27	40.17	78
GEZ-U9-011	Gezira	Egypt	U9	8.20	76	14.34	68	39.32	79
MAR-U9-002	Marseille	France	U9	7.57	46	15.74	98	39.32	79
PAL-U11-006	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	8.49	93	13.64	52	38.89	81
PAL-U11-010	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	8.59	99	13.50	46	38.89	81
MAR-U9-005	Marseille	France	U9	8.15	72	14.72	74	38.46	83
PAL-U11-014	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	8.34	85	14.02	62	38.03	84
MON-U11-005	Monaco	Monaco	U11	7.69	53	15.65	95	37.61	85
KOR-U9-011	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	8.21	77	14.44	71	37.61	86
PAL-U11-011	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	8.55	97	13.74	54	36.32	87
POS-U11-006	Posillipo	Italy	U11	7.57	46	16.23	106	35.90	88
SAN-U11-011	San Mauro	Italy	U11	8.50	94	13.97	60	35.04	89
KOR-U9-012	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	8.89	104	13.59	51	34.62	90
GEZ-U9-006	Gezira	Egypt	U9	8.40	88	14.39	69	33.76	91
MAR-U9-003	Marseille	France	U9	8.51	95	14.23	65	32.48	92
KOR-U9-010	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	8.21	77	14.99	84	32.05	93
GEZ-U9-005	Gezira	Egypt	U9	9.09	110	13.69	53	31.20	94
MAR-U9-008	Marseille	France	U9	7.92	66	15.75	99	30.34	95
SAN-U11-009	San Mauro	Italy	U11	8.98	107	13.86	58	30.34	95
PAL-U11-003	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	8.44	90	14.79	77	29.49	97
POS-U11-012	Posillipo	Italy	U11	8.16	73	15.80	100	26.92	98
KOR-U9-004	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	8.98	107	14.28	67	26.50	99
OND-U9-008	Onda Blu	Italy	U9	9.16	112	14.13	63	26.07	100
DEL-U11-002	Delta	Italy	U11	7.91	65	17.04	112	25.21	101
GEZ-U9-007	Gezira	Egypt	U9	8.58	98	14.82	79	25.21	102
SAN-U11-002	San Mauro	Italy	U11	8.32	82	15.69	96	24.79	103
POS-U11-001	Posillipo	Italy	U11	8.52	96	15.12	87	22.65	104
OND-U9-003	Onda Blu	Italy	U9	8.89	104	15.04	85	20.09	105
POS-U11-002	Posillipo	Italy	U11	8.34	85	16.06	104	20.09	105
POS-U11-014	Posillipo	Italy	U11	8.60	100	15.30	90	19.66	107
SAN-U11-007	San Mauro	Italy	U11	9.09	110	14.86	81	19.23	108
PAL-U11-008	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	8.68	101	15.36	93	17.95	109
SAN-U11-010	San Mauro	Italy	U11	8.75	102	15.42	94	17.09	110
GEZ-U9-009	Gezira	Egypt	U9	8.41	89	17.09	113	14.53	111
MAR-U9-001	Marseille	France	U9	10.00	118	15.05	86	13.68	112
PAL-U11-002	Palm Hills	Egypt	U11	9.66	117	15.13	88	13.25	113
OND-U9-009	Onda Blu	Italy	U9	8.91	106	15.88	101	12.39	114
OND-U9-007	Onda Blu	Italy	U9	8.48	92	17.74	116	11.97	115
OND-U9-001	Onda Blu	Italy	U9	9.04	109	16.20	105	9.40	116
KOR-U9-005	SPK Korcula	Croatia	U9	9.30	113	16.73	110	5.56	117

OND-U9-010	Onda Blu	Italy	U9	9.57	116	16.58	108	5.13	118